

Propagation of Dirac wave functions in accelerated frames of reference

Yi-Cheng Huang and Wei-Tou Ni

Department of Physics, National Tsing-Hua University
HsinChu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q

Abstract. The first-order gravity effects of Dirac wave functions are found from the inertial effects in the accelerated frames of reference. Derivations and discussions about Lense-Thirring effect and the gyrogravitational ratio for intrinsic spin are presented. We use coordinate transformations among reference frames to study and understand the Lense-Thirring effect of a scalar particle. For a Dirac particle, the wave-function transformation operator from an inertial frame to a moving accelerated frame is obtained. From this, the Dirac wave function is solved and its change of polarization gives the gyrogravitational ratio 1 for the first-order gravitational effects. The eikonal approach to this problem is presented in the end for ready extension to investigations involving curvature terms.

1. Introduction

According to the Einstein's Equivalence Principle, inertial effects gives the first-order gravity effects. As a result, many efforts have been made in studying the inertial effects and relating them to gravity [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In previous papers of our group, we have studied the equations of motion and trajectories of scalar particles in an accelerated frame and in a gravitational field [2, 3, 6]. In 1990, we obtained the Dirac equation in an accelerated frame and studied its various inertial effects [5]. In this paper, the propagation of Dirac wave functions in accelerated frames with an emphasis on spin effects will be studied, and the discussion of the gyrogravitational ratio is included. Since the measurement of gyrogravitational effect will be a crucial test of relativistic gravity, theoretical understanding of normal and anomalous gyrogravitational effects become important to reveal the significance of the outcome of the test. Like electromagnetism, Lorentz transformation of electric field gives rise to magnetic field, while, similarly, "Lorentz transformation" of gravitoelectric fields result in gravitomagnetic fields (Lense-Thirring effect) [7, 8]. When we studied the propagation of a Dirac particle in different frames, in addition to the gravitational effect, the gravitomagnetic effect also comes out naturally in agreement with previous investigations.

In the following subsections of the introduction, we will review the equation of motion and their inertial effects for a scalar particle and a Dirac particle. In sections 2-5, we present new materials and new derivations. In section 2, the coordinate transformation from a moving accelerated frame to its instantaneous inertial frame is formulated. In section 3, we develop a method leading to an exact solution of Dirac

equation in accelerated frames; subsequently, the gyrogravitational factor of the spin for a Dirac particle will be found. In section 4, we use eikonal approximation to solve Dirac equation in accelerated frames. In the end, we have a short discussion in section 5.

1.1. Coordinate transformation, inertial effects and equation of motion

In this section, we review the coordinate transformation between an inertial observer and an accelerated, rotating observer, equation of motion in the non-inertial frame, and associated inertial effects.

1.1.1. The coordinate transformation Let an observer who is carrying a tetrad $\{e^\mu(\tau)\}$ with 4-velocity $\mathbf{u}(\tau)$, 4-acceleration $\mathbf{a}(\tau)$ and 4-rotation $\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)$ move along a world line $\mathbf{Q}(\tau)$. The observer's local coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = x^k e_k(x^0) + \mathbf{Q}(x^0), \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi^0, \xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3)$ are the Minkowski coordinates [1, 2, 3]. Here and in the following, we use Greek alphabet to denote 4-indices and Latin alphabet to denote 3-indices. b^i, η^i , etc. are similarly defined in terms of $\mathbf{b} = d\mathbf{a}/d\tau$, $\boldsymbol{\eta} = d\boldsymbol{\omega}/d\tau$, etc. In the observer's local coordinates the Minkowski metric is transformed to [2, 3, 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} (ds)^2 &= (d\xi^0)^2 - (d\xi^1)^2 - (d\xi^2)^2 - (d\xi^3)^2, \\ &= (dx^0)^2 [1 + 2a^i x^i + (a^i x^i)^2 - \omega^2 (x \cdot x) + (\omega^l x^l)^2] \\ &\quad - 2dx^0 dx^l \epsilon^{lkm} \omega^k x^m - dx^l dx^k \delta_{kl} + O[(\Delta x^\alpha)^4 dx^\beta dx^\gamma]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

1.1.2. The equation of motion in local coordinates and inertial effects Consider an inertial motion in the global inertial frame:

$$\xi^i = d^i + v^i \xi^0, \quad (3)$$

where v^i is the particle's constant 3-velocity and d^i is the position at $\xi^0 = 0$. In the local coordinates, the equation of motion is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 x^i}{dx^{0^2}} &= -a^i (1 + a^m x^m) + \frac{w^i + \epsilon^{ijk} \omega^j x^k}{(1 + a^m x^m)} [b^l x^l + 2a^l w^l + 2\epsilon^{lmn} a^l \omega^m x^n] \\ &\quad - 2\epsilon^{ijk} \omega^j w^k - (\omega^i \omega^m - \omega^2 \delta_{im}) x^m - \epsilon^{ijk} \eta^j x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$w^i \equiv \frac{dx^i}{dx^0} = v^i + (v^i a^l - \epsilon^{ikl} \omega^k) x^l. \quad (5)$$

1.2. The inertial effects of a Dirac particle

In 1990, Hehl and Ni proposed a framework to study the relativistic inertial effects of a Dirac particle. The equation of the Dirac particle in an accelerated and rotating frame is obtained as follows:

$$\left\{ i\hbar \frac{\gamma^0}{1 + \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \frac{1}{2c^2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\alpha} - \frac{i}{c\hbar} \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{J} \right] + i\hbar \gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right\} \Psi = mc \Psi \quad (6)$$

where

$$\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S} = \vec{x} \times \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \vec{\sigma} \quad (7)$$

is the total angular momentum, $\vec{a}$ is the acceleration, and $\vec{\omega}$ is the angular velocity. It can be written in the form

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = H \Psi, \quad (8)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \beta mc^2 + \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{E}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} &= c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2c} [(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) + (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\alpha})(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})], \\ \mathcal{E} &= \beta m(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) - \vec{\omega} \cdot (\vec{L} + \vec{S}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the non-relativistic approximation, via Foldy-Wouthysen transformation, the Hamiltonian becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \beta mc^2 + \frac{\beta}{2m} p^2 + \beta m(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) + \frac{\beta}{2m} \vec{p} \left[\frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2} \right] \cdot \vec{p} \\ &\quad - \vec{\omega} \cdot (\vec{L} + \vec{S}) + \frac{\hbar}{4mc^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{p}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This is the Hamiltonian of a Dirac particle in a non-inertial frame, and a detailed discussion of various terms goes to Hehl & Ni [5]. A summary of relativistic inertia effects and their non-relativistic components of a Dirac particle together with their empirical significance are given in the table of Hehl & Ni [5].

2. Moving Accelerated Frame And Lense-Thirring Effect

In 1820, Hans Christian Oersted [10] discovered that electric currents produce a magnetic field. In the mid 19th century, Maxwell unified the electric field and magnetic field into the electromagnetic field. As the concept of special relativity matured, we know that the electric and magnetic fields are just one thing interpreted by different observers. According to Einstein's general relativity, the currents of mass can produce a field which is called, by analogy, the gravitomagnetic field [7, 11, 12].

In this section, we consider the gravitomagnetic effects of a scalar particle. A rotation of the coordinate due to the observer's velocity with respect to the source of the gravity will be found through coordinate transformations. The results of this section will be used for the following discussion.

Like the way in Section 1, a coordinate transformation should be found first for solving the equation. However, it is not readily available to acquire a coordinate transformation between an accelerated frame and another accelerated frame moving with respect to the gravity source. Even though the velocity between them is constant, Lorentz transformation fails. The reason is that both observers in the moving frame and in the rest frame of the source are not in the inertial frames. Nevertheless, since $\vec{a} = 0$, the coordinate transformation between them is still Lorentz transformation. It is hoped that the Lorentz transformation will be approximately true.

Here we will construct the relations among four coordinate frames. Suppose there is an inertial frame $\{\xi^\mu\}$. At $\xi^0 = 0$, another frame $\{x^\mu\}$ whose origin coincides that of the inertial frame $\{\xi^\mu\}$ is accelerated uniformly in the ξ^1 -direction, and the acceleration is $\vec{a} = (a, 0, 0)$. According to MTW [1], the coordinate transformation is

$$\xi^0 = \left(\frac{c^2}{a} + x^1 \right) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^1 &= \left(\frac{c^2}{a} + x^1\right) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} - \frac{c^2}{a} \\
\xi^2 &= x^2 \\
\xi^3 &= x^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

This transformation is the coordinate transformation which we used in the last section. At the same time, there is another accelerated frame $\{y^\mu\}$ which moves with the velocity $\vec{w}=(0, w, 0)$ with respect to the uniformly accelerated frame $\{x^\mu\}$ where the gravity can be viewed as static. Though, the transformation between $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{y^\mu\}$ is not known exactly, the transformation should approximately be Lorentz transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
x^0 &\cong \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right)y^0 - \frac{w}{c}\left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right)y^2 \\
x^1 &\cong y^1 \\
x^2 &\cong \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right)y^2 - \frac{w}{c}\left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right)y^0 \\
x^3 &\cong y^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

At $y^0 = 0$, there exists an inertial frame $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ whose velocity with respect to the moving accelerated frame $\{y^\mu\}$ vanishes. The coordinate transformation between them should be in the standard form stated in Li & Ni [2]. since at $x^0 = \xi^0 = 0$ the velocity between the frames, $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{\xi^\mu\}$, is zero, the inertial frame $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ also travels with the velocity $\vec{w}=(0, w, 0)$ with respect to the other inertial frame $\{\xi^\mu\}$. Because both $\{\xi^\mu\}$ and $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ are inertial frames, their transformations, of course, are Lorentz transformations.

By successive coordinate transformation between $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ and $\{\xi^\mu\}$, $\{\xi^\mu\}$ and $\{x^\mu\}$, $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{y^\mu\}$, we can obtain the approximate transformation from $\{y^\mu\}$ to $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ (the validity of the transformation (14) is discussed in Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^0 &\cong y^0 + \frac{a}{c^2}y^1y^0 + \frac{a^2}{6c^4}y^{03} \\
\zeta^1 &\cong y^1 + \frac{a}{2c^2}\left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2}\right)y^{02} + \frac{aw}{c^3}y^2y^0 + \frac{a^2}{2c^4}y^1y^{02} \\
\zeta^2 &\cong y^2 - \frac{aw}{c^3}y^1y^0 \\
\zeta^3 &= y^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By comparing (14) with the work of Li & Ni [2], we conclude that in the moving accelerated frame $\{y^\mu\}$ the acceleration $\vec{a}'$ is:

$$\vec{a}' \cong \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2}\right)\vec{a} \tag{15}$$

and a rotation $\vec{\omega}$ will be produced:

$$\vec{\omega} = \left(0, 0, -\frac{aw}{c^2}\right). \tag{16}$$

These are the gravitomagnetic effects which result from the motion of the gravity source.

3. PROPAGATION OF DIRAC WAVE FUNCTIONS IN ACCELERATED FRAMES

3.1. Searching for the transformation operator

In this section, a different approach to an exact solution of the non-inertial Dirac equation will be performed in contrast to the approximate method stated in the last section. In the following, we try to find a transformation of a Dirac particle from an inertial frame to an accelerated frame. As the usual solution of Dirac equation in inertial frame is known, we can operate the transformation operator on the solution in the inertial frame to obtain the exact solution in the accelerated frame.

Dirac equation in the inertial frame $(\xi^0, \xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3)$ is:

$$i\hbar\{\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} + \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^2} + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^3}\} \Psi' = mc \Psi', \quad (17)$$

while Dirac equation in the uniformly accelerated frame (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) is:

$$i\hbar\left\{\frac{\gamma^0}{1 + \frac{ax^1}{c^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \frac{a/2c^2}{1 + \frac{ax^1}{c^2}} \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}\right\} \Psi = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \Psi. \quad (18)$$

The coordinate transformation between the inertial frame and the uniformly accelerated frame is:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^0 &= \left(\frac{c^2}{a} + x^1\right) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \\ \xi^1 &= \left(\frac{c^2}{a} + x^1\right) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} - \frac{c^2}{a} \\ \xi^2 &= x^2 \\ \xi^3 &= x^3 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

If we assume a transformation $\mathbf{S}$, which is the matrix function of x^0 and x^1 only, exists, i.e.

$$\Psi' = \mathbf{S} \Psi, \quad (20)$$

then $\mathbf{S}$ should satisfy the conditions:

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} \left(\cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^0 - \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^1 \right) \mathbf{S} = \gamma^0, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} \left(\cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^1 - \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^0 \right) \mathbf{S} = \gamma^1, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{ax^1}{c^2}} \gamma^0 \mathbf{S}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \mathbf{S} + \gamma^1 \mathbf{S}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \mathbf{S} = \frac{a/2c^2}{1 + \frac{ax^1}{c^2}} \gamma^1, \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} \gamma^2 \mathbf{S} = \gamma^2, \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} \gamma^3 \mathbf{S} = \gamma^3. \quad (25)$$

From the equations (21) and (22), we suppose that $\mathbf{S}$ is in the form:

$$\mathbf{S} = \exp(\varrho \gamma^0 \gamma^1). \quad (26)$$

Substituting the assumption (26) into the equations (21) and (22), then we can find that

$$\varrho = \frac{a}{2c^2} x^0, \quad (27)$$

and the equation (23) will be satisfied.

Since γ^2 and γ^3 are commute with $\gamma^0\gamma^1$, the conditions (24) and (25) are satisfied. So the equation (17) can become the equation (18) by the coordinate transformation and the transformation operator

$$\mathbf{S} = \exp\left(\frac{ax^0}{2c^2} \alpha_1\right). \quad (28)$$

In the inertial frame, an electron with the polarization in the x^3 -direction can be described by

$$\Psi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -k_+^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} e^{-i(k_0^{(0)}\xi^0 + k_1^{(0)}\xi^1 + k_2^{(0)}\xi^2)}, \quad (29)$$

with the constant wave vector $k_\mu^{(0)} = (k_0^{(0)}, k_1^{(0)}, k_2^{(0)}, 0)$. As we expected in the beginning, the wave function can be obtained by operating $\mathbf{S}^{-1}$ on Ψ' :

$$\Psi = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \Psi'. \quad (30)$$

Hence the exact solution is

$$\Psi = \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} + k_+^{(0)} \sinh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} - k_+^{(0)} \cosh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

When $x^0=0$, $\mathbf{S}$ is the identity matrix, the initial wave vector in the accelerated frame is also $k_\mu(x^0=0)=(k_0^{(0)}, k_1^{(0)}, k_2^{(0)}, 0)$. As to the phase, because θ is a scalar, we can get the phase presented in the accelerated frame through coordinate transformation (19):

$$\theta = k_0^{(0)}\left(\frac{c^2}{a} + x^1\right) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} + k_1^{(0)}\left(\frac{c^2}{a} + x^1\right) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} + k_2^{(0)}x^2 - k_1^{(0)}\frac{c^2}{a}. \quad (32)$$

The definition of a wave vector is

$$k_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \theta, \quad (33)$$

so the wave vector in the accelerated frame reads:

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &= k_0^{(0)}\left(1 + \frac{ax^1}{c^2}\right) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} + k_1^{(0)}\left(1 + \frac{ax^1}{c^2}\right) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2}, \\ k_1 &= k_0^{(0)} \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} + k_1^{(0)} \cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2}, \\ k_2 &= k_2^{(0)}, \\ k_3 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Consider a Dirac particle with the polarization in the x^1 - x^2 plane thrown at $x^0 = 0$.

$$\Psi = \frac{\sqrt{2}/2 \times e^{-i\theta}}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} ((k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} + k_+^{(0)} \sinh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2}) \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} ((k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \cosh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} + k_-^{(0)} \sinh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2}) \\ -e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} (k_-^{(0)} \cosh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} + (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2}) \\ -e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} (k_+^{(0)} \cosh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} + (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \sinh \frac{ax^0}{2c^2}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

where ϕ is the angle between x^1 -axis and the particle's polarization. When the particle hits the floor of the accelerated frame, in the movement of the particle with the velocity $(v_{x^1}^{(0)}, v_{x^2}^{(0)}, 0)$ at $x^0 = 0$ in the uniformly accelerated frame, the movement should be symmetric in time. It means that when the particle falls back to the floor, its velocity must be $(-v_{x^1}^{(0)}, v_{x^2}^{(0)}, 0)$. Therefore

$$k_1|_{\text{when the particle hits the floor}} = -k_1^{(0)}. \quad (36)$$

It will give

$$x^0 = \frac{c^2}{a} \tanh^{-1} \frac{2v_{x^1}^{(0)}/c}{1 + \frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)2}}{c^2}}. \quad (37)$$

This is the time of a flying rod coming back to the floor.

3.2. The uniqueness problem

Suppose there exists another matrix $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$, which also satisfies the conditions (21)~(25), from (21) and (22) with $\mathbf{S}$ replaced by $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$, we derive

$$\gamma^0 = \bar{\mathbf{S}}(\cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^0 + \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^1) \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \quad (38)$$

$$\gamma^1 = \bar{\mathbf{S}}(\cosh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^1 + \sinh \frac{ax^0}{c^2} \gamma^0) \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

If we substitute (38) and (39) into the left hand side of (21) and (22), we obtain

$$(\bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S})^{-1} \gamma^0 \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S} = \gamma^0 \quad (40)$$

$$(\bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S})^{-1} \gamma^1 \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S} = \gamma^1. \quad (41)$$

Similarly,

$$(\bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S})^{-1} \gamma^2 \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S} = \gamma^2 \quad (42)$$

$$(\bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S})^{-1} \gamma^3 \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S} = \gamma^3. \quad (43)$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{S}$ commutes with γ^μ ($\mu = 0 \sim 3$), it must be a constant matrix and $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ is equal to $\mathbf{S}$ up to a constant scale factor.

3.3. Gyrogravitational factor for the spin of a Dirac particle

3.3.1. The polarization of a Dirac particle in the moving accelerated frame According to the previous section, we know that a scalar particle in the moving accelerated frame will experience a Coriolis force due to the rotation of the frame. In this section, we consider how the polarization of a Dirac particle changes, when it is placed in the moving accelerated frame.

At $y^0 = 0$, the frame $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ is at rest for the observers in $\{y^\mu\}$. Therefore, the polarization of the Dirac particle in $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ is a constant vector. We can use the Lorentz transformation and the transformation operator $\mathbf{S}$ to obtain the Dirac wave function in the moving accelerated frame. In the similar way stated in the last part of this section, we use the operator:

$$\mathbf{L}(w) \mathbf{S}^{-1}(a) \mathbf{L}(-w), \quad (44)$$

where $\mathbf{L}(w)$ is the Lorentz transformation:

$$\mathbf{L}(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^w + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} k_0^w + \frac{mc}{\hbar} & 0 & 0 & -ik_2^w \\ 0 & k_0^w + \frac{mc}{\hbar} & ik_2^w & 0 \\ 0 & -ik_2^w & k_0^w + \frac{mc}{\hbar} & 0 \\ ik_2^w & 0 & 0 & k_0^w + \frac{mc}{\hbar} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

with

$$k_2^w = -\frac{\frac{mc}{\hbar} \frac{w}{c}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{w^2}{c^2}}}, \quad k_0^w = \frac{\frac{mc}{\hbar}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{w^2}{c^2}}}. \quad (46)$$

For the first order of a , we find

$$\mathbf{L}(w) \mathbf{S}^{-1}(a) \mathbf{L}(-w) \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 + i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 & 0 & 0 & -(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2})\frac{ay^0}{2c^2} \\ 0 & 1 - i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 & -(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2})\frac{ay^0}{2c^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2})\frac{ay^0}{2c^2} & 1 + i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 & 0 \\ -(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2})\frac{ay^0}{2c^2} & 0 & 0 & 1 - i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (47)$$

Now the operator can be denoted as

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1}(a', \omega_3) = \mathbf{L}(w) \mathbf{S}^{-1}(a) \mathbf{L}(-w). \quad (48)$$

Just taking the linear part of $\mathbf{S}^{-1}(a', \frac{\omega_3}{2})$ into account, it can be divided into

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1}(a', \omega_3) \cong \mathbf{S}^{-1}(a') \mathbf{R}(\frac{\omega_3 y^0}{c}), \quad (49)$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1}(a') \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{a'y^0}{2c^2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{a'y^0}{2c^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{a'y^0}{2c^2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a'y^0}{2c^2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (50)$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}(\frac{\omega_3 y^0}{c}) \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 + i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - i\frac{aw}{2c^3}y^0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (51)$$

$\mathbf{S}^{-1}(a')$ is the first order approximation of the operator $\mathbf{S}^{-1}$, and $\mathbf{R}(\frac{\omega_3 y^0}{c})$ is the first approximation of the rotation operator:

$$\mathbf{R}(\frac{\omega_3 y^0}{c}) \cong I - i\sigma_3 \frac{\omega_3}{2c} y^0 \quad (52)$$

$$\cong \exp(-i\sigma_3 \frac{\omega_3}{2c} y^0). \quad (53)$$

Therefore, we can find the gyrogravitational factor is 1.

3.3.2. Deriving Dirac equation in the moving accelerated frame In section 1, a scalar particle's equation of motion can be obtained, after the coordinate transformation is known. As to Dirac equation, an additional transformation operator, like $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{L}$, is needed, when we derive the equation in some frames. In this subsection, we want to figure out Dirac equation in the moving accelerated frame. Since we have the operator $\mathcal{S}$ and the coordinate transformation (14), the Dirac equation in the inertial frame $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ is:

$$i\hbar\{\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^0} + \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^1} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^2} + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^3}\} \Psi' = mc \Psi'. \quad (54)$$

Suppose Ψ is Dirac wave function in the moving accelerated frame $\{y^\mu\}$. The relation between these functions are:

$$\Psi \cong \mathcal{S}^{-1}(a', \omega_3) \Psi' \quad (55)$$

Using chain rule and substituting (55) into (54), we get

$$i\hbar \mathcal{S}^{-1} \{ \gamma^0 \frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial \zeta^0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} + \gamma^1 \frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial \zeta^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial \zeta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial \zeta^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} \} \mathcal{S} \Psi = mc \Psi. \quad (56)$$

Then it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \{ (1 - \frac{a'}{c^2} y^1 + \frac{a'^2}{2c^4} y^{02}) \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} + \frac{a'}{2c^2} \gamma^1 + (1 + \frac{a'^2}{2c^4} y^{02}) \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} \\ + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^3} + i \frac{a'w}{2c^3} \sigma^3 + \frac{a'w}{c^3} (y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}) + (\frac{a'}{c^4} y^1 y^0 + \frac{a'^3}{6c^6} y^{03}) \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \\ - \frac{a'^2 w}{c^5} y^{02} \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} + \frac{a'w}{c^3} y^0 (\gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}) \} \Psi = mc \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Setting $y^0=0$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \{ (1 - \frac{a'}{c^2} y^1) \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} + \frac{a'}{2c^2} \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^3} \\ + i \frac{a'w}{2c^3} \sigma^3 + \frac{a'w}{c^3} (y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}) \} \Psi = mc \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \{ (1 - \frac{a'}{c^2} y^1) \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} + \frac{a'}{2c^2} \gamma^1 - \frac{i}{c\hbar} \omega_3 (\frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma^3 + y^1 \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - y^2 \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}) \\ + \gamma^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \gamma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} + \gamma^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^3} \} \Psi = mc \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

This is familiar to us, since (59) is the approximate form of non-inertial Dirac equation (6).

4. EIKONAL APPROXIMATION

4.1. Eikonal approximation method

The behavior of a rod thrown in an accelerated frame has been investigated in detail by K. Nordtvedt [9]. We will extend his work to the problem of a Dirac particle observed in a uniformly accelerated frame. To develop an approximate method for solving the equation is reasonably a good start point to understand it.

The wave vector can be defined by

$$k_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \theta, \quad (60)$$

where θ , the phase of the wave function, is a scalar. It is easy to obtain the wave vector in any coordinates through coordinate transformation. The required coordinate transformation between a global inertial frame and a local non-inertial frame is well-defined in Li & Ni [2] and the coordinate transformation from an inertial frame to a uniformly accelerated frame is known as (12).

If the wave vector in the inertial frame is $\mathbf{k} = (k_0^{(0)}, k_1^{(0)}, k_2^{(0)}, 0)$, substitute (12) into the phase θ , where $\theta = k_\mu^{(0)} \xi^\mu$ in the inertial frame. Therefore, the terms of the phase θ can be classified into some groups according to their order of c .

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{(0)} &= k_0^{(0)} x^0 + k_1^{(0)} x^1 + k_2^{(0)} x^2 \\ \theta^{(1)} &= \frac{a}{2c^2} k_1^{(0)} (x^0)^2 + \frac{a}{c^2} k_0^{(0)} x^0 x^1 \\ \theta^{(2)} &= \frac{a^2}{6c^4} k_0^{(0)} (x^0)^3 + \frac{a^2}{2c^4} k_1^{(0)} x^1 (x^0)^2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

After (61) is taken into the equation (60), the wave vector in the uniformly accelerated frame is obtained in an approximate form:

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &\cong k_0^{(0)} + \frac{a}{c^2} k_1^{(0)} x^0 + \frac{a}{c^2} k_0^{(0)} x^1 + \\ &\quad \frac{a^2}{2c^4} k_0^{(0)} x^{02} + \frac{a^2}{c^4} k_1^{(0)} x^0 x^1 + \frac{a^3}{2c^6} k_0^{(0)} x^1 x^{02} \\ k_1 &\cong k_1^{(0)} + \frac{a}{c^2} k_0^{(0)} x^0 + \frac{a^2}{2c^4} k_1^{(0)} x^{02} + \frac{a^3}{6c^6} k_0^{(0)} x^{03} \\ k_2 &\cong k_2^{(0)} \\ k_3 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

A Dirac particle with a certain polarization, at $x^0 = 0$, is placed at the origin of the accelerated coordinate, which is boosted in the direction of x^1 . Without comprising any generality, in simplicity, we suppose the particle has an initial velocity $\vec{v}(x^0 = 0) = (v_{x^1}^{(0)}, v_{x^2}^{(0)}, 0)$. Dirac equation in a uniformly accelerated frame presented in the last section can be rewritten as:

$$i\hbar \left\{ \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \left(1 + \varepsilon \frac{ax^1}{c^2}\right) \gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \varepsilon \frac{a}{2c^2} \gamma^1 \right\} \Psi = m c \left(1 + \varepsilon \frac{ax^1}{c^2}\right) \Psi, \quad (63)$$

we assume Ψ is in the form

$$\Psi = (\Psi^{(0)} + \varepsilon \Psi^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \Psi^{(2)} + \dots) e^{-i\theta}, \quad (64)$$

where θ is the phase of the Dirac wave-function and $\Psi^{(0)}$ is a constant 4-component column vector, $\Psi^{(1)}$ is a 4-component column vector function of the 1st order $1/c^2$ of x^0, x^1 , $\Psi^{(2)}$ is a 4-component column vector function of the 2nd order $1/c^2$ of $x^0, x^1, \dots$ and so on. Herein, ε is the parameter to keep track of the order of $1/c^2$, and it will be set 1 after solving the equation.

Substituting (64) into (63), $\Psi^{(0)} \Psi^{(1)} \dots$ can be all solved order by order. For the order of 1, the equation reads

$$\gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(0)} \Psi^{(0)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(0)} \Psi^{(0)} = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \Psi^{(0)}. \quad (65)$$

This equation for $\Psi^{(0)}$ is the usual form of the Dirac equation in an inertial frame. According to the wave vector that was obtained in the beginning of this section,

$$\theta_{,0}^{(0)} = k_0^{(0)} = \text{const.}, \quad \theta_{,i}^{(0)} = k_i^{(0)} = \text{const.} \quad (66)$$

For the first order of ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i\gamma^0 \Psi_{,0}^{(1)} + i\gamma^i \Psi_{,i}^{(1)} + (\gamma^0 k_0^{(0)} + \gamma^i k_i^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \Psi^{(1)} \\ &= -(\gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(1)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(1)}) \Psi^{(0)} - \frac{ax^1}{c^2} (\gamma^i k_i^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \Psi^{(0)} - i\frac{a}{c^2} \gamma^1 \Psi^{(0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Now the equation (65) and (67) will be used to solve $\Psi^{(0)}$ and $\Psi^{(1)}$ with two initial conditions as examples:

- EXAMPLE 1

In the global inertial frame $\{\xi^\mu\}$, if the particle has the constant velocity $\vec{v}(x^0=0) = (v_{x^1}^{(0)}, v_{x^2}^{(0)}, 0)$ and the polarization oriented in the x^3 -direction, the wave function is:

$$\Psi'|_{\xi^0=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ k_+^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (68)$$

where $k_+^{(0)} \equiv k_1^{(0)} + ik_2^{(0)}$ (in the similar way, $k_-^{(0)} \equiv k_1^{(0)} - ik_2^{(0)}$). At $\xi^0 = x^0 = 0$, since the relative velocity between two frames vanishes and from the equation (65), we know

$$\Psi'|_{\xi^0=0} = \Psi|_{x^0=0} = \Psi^{(0)}. \quad (69)$$

$\Psi^{(1)}$ can be obtained by solving (67) with a simple assumption. Consequently, we get the approximate solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\cong (\Psi^{(0)} + \Psi^{(1)}) e^{i(\theta^{(0)} + \theta^{(1)})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar} + \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} k_+^{(0)} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -k_+^{(0)} - \frac{ax^0}{2c^2} (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times e^{[-i(k_0^{(0)} x^0 + k_1^{(0)} x^1 + k_2^{(0)} x^2 + \frac{a}{2c^2} k_1^{(0)} x^{02} + \frac{a}{c^2} k_0^{(0)} x^1 x^0)]} \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

At the moment $x^0 = \frac{c^2}{a} \tanh^{-1} \frac{2v_{x^1}^{(0)}/c}{1 + \frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)2}}{c^2}}$, when the particle hits the floor of the accelerated frame, the speed of the particle is $\vec{v}(x^0 = 0) = (-v_{x^1}^{(0)}, v_{x^2}^{(0)}, 0)$ and its

wave vector is $k_\mu = (k_0^{(0)}, -k_1^{(0)}, k_2^{(0)}, 0)$. We can transform Ψ to its rest frame to identify the polarization of the particle via Lorentz transformation $\mathbf{L}$. Lorentz transformation of a Dirac particle is

$$\mathbf{L} = \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{2} \frac{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|}\right), \quad (71)$$

where $\vec{v} = (-v_{x_1}^{(0)}, v_{x_2}^{(0)}, 0)$, $\cosh \frac{\omega}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{E+mc^2}{2mc^2}}$. Applying Lorentz transformation to the wave function in the accelerated frame, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi|_{in \text{ particle's rest frame}} &= \mathbf{L} \Psi|_{in \text{ accelerated frame}} \\ &\cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})^2 + (k_+^{(0)})^2 + \frac{ax_0^0}{c^2} k_+^{(0)} (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times e^{-i(\theta^{(0)} + \theta^{(1)} + \dots)} \Big|_{x^0 = \frac{c^2}{a} \tanh^{-1} \frac{2v^{(0)}/c}{\frac{x_1^{(0)2}}{v^{(0)2} + \frac{x_1^2}{c^2}}} \cdot} \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Obviously, its polarization of spin doesn't change at all.

- EXAMPLE 2

In this example, a Dirac particle with the polarization in the x^1 - x^2 plane is thrown in the accelerated frame at $x^0 = 0$. At this moment, the wave function can be written as follows:

$$\Psi|_{x^0=0} = \frac{\sqrt{2}/2}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})} \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})} \\ -e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}k_-^{(0)}} \\ -e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}k_+^{(0)}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (73)$$

where ϕ is the angle between x^1 -axis and the particle's polarization. By the similar method stated in the last example, we get the solution in the particle's rest frame.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi|_{in \text{ rest frame}} &\cong \\ &\frac{\sqrt{2}/2}{\sqrt{\frac{2mc}{\hbar}(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}\{(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})^2 + (k_+^{(0)})^2 + \frac{ax_0^0}{c^2} k_+^{(0)} (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})\}} \\ e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}\{(k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})^2 + (k_-^{(0)})^2 + \frac{ax_0^0}{c^2} k_-^{(0)} (k_0^{(0)} + \frac{mc}{\hbar})\}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times e^{-i(\theta^{(0)} + \theta^{(1)} + \dots)} \Big|_{x^0 = \frac{c^2}{a} \tanh^{-1} \frac{2v^{(0)}/c}{\frac{x_1^{(0)2}}{v^{(0)2} + \frac{x_1^2}{c^2}}} \cdot} \end{aligned}$$

Supposing the particle's direction of spin is $\hat{n} = (\cos \phi', \sin \phi', 0)$, we solve the equation

$$\hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Sigma} \Psi|_{in \text{ the rest frame}} = \Psi|_{in \text{ the rest frame}} \quad (74)$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}^i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{bmatrix}$ is the spin operator of a Dirac particle. A change in the polarization is found

$$\delta\phi = \phi' - \phi \cong \frac{v_{x1}^{(0)} v_{x2}^{(0)}}{c^2}. \quad (75)$$

This is different from the shift angle of a rod coming back to the floor of the accelerated frame

$$\delta\phi = \frac{v_{x1}^{(0)} v_{x2}^{(0)}}{c^2} (1 - \cos 2\phi). \quad (76)$$

Obviously, its change depends on the angle ϕ . Why there is a difference between them? When we studied the trajectory of a Dirac particle, it occurred to us that a rod can be viewed as composed of two Dirac particles. If there are two particles placed in the accelerated frame, we can regard a particle as one end and the other as the other end of the rod. Since the trajectories of Dirac particles are the same as those of scalar ones, the rod formed by two particles should have the same rotation angle as a real rod's. We think the point is that spin is the internal structure of the particles but a rod is a macroscopic object. The vector of the spin polarization and a vector in coordinate frame can't be regarded as the same thing. Therefore, this should be one of the reasons for their difference. In section 5 and Appendix B, a detailed discussion will be given.

4.2. The trajectory of a Dirac particle in the accelerated frame

So far, we have solved the Dirac equation approximately in the uniformly accelerated frame and reached a consequence of a rotation angle in polarization, when the particle falls back to the ground. Subsequently, in this section, we will make an investigation of the path of a Dirac particle in this frame and see whether it follows the geodesic.

Since at $x^0 = 0$ the particle is at the origin of the frame, the initial wave vector and the initial velocity $\vec{v}(x^0 = 0) = (v_{x1}^{(0)}, v_{x2}^{(0)}, 0)$ have the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{x1}^{(0)}/c &= -k_1^{(0)}/k_0^{(0)} \\ v_{x2}^{(0)}/c &= -k_2^{(0)}/k_0^{(0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{u} = \frac{d}{d\tau}$ is the four velocity of the particle, then

$$k^\mu = \frac{m}{\hbar} u^\mu \quad (78)$$

By using the chain rule:

$$\frac{dx^i}{dx^0} = \frac{\hbar/m}{(\frac{dx^0}{d\tau})} k^i = \frac{k^i}{k^0}. \quad (79)$$

Since we have known that $\frac{dx^i}{dx^0}$ approximates:

$$\frac{dx^1}{dx^0} \cong \frac{v_{x1}^{(0)}}{c} - \frac{a}{c^2} x^0 \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dx^2}{dx^0} \cong \frac{v_{x2}^{(0)}}{c}. \quad (81)$$

Substitute (62) into (79), differentiate them with respect to x^0 and then substitute the major approximate terms of (dx^i/dx^0) , (80) and (81), into them, we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2 x^1}{dx^{02}} &\cong -\frac{a}{c^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{a}{c^2} \left(\frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)}}{c} x^0 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{c^2} x^{02} \right) \right\} + 2 \frac{a}{c^2} \left(\frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)}}{c} - \frac{a}{c^2} x^0 \right)^2 \\ \frac{d^2 x^2}{dx^{02}} &\cong 2 \frac{a}{c^2} \frac{v_{x^2}^{(0)}}{c} \left(\frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)}}{c} - \frac{a}{c^2} x^0 \right).\end{aligned}\quad (82)$$

These are the equation of motion which have been discussed in section 1, so the trajectory of the a Dirac particle in the accelerated frame obeys the same equation of a scalar particle to $1/c^2$.

4.3. A Dirac particle in the moving accelerated frame

By the similar approximate method, eikonal approximation, now we try to solve the non-inertial Dirac equation with the acceleration $\vec{a}'$ and the rotation $\vec{\omega}$. The equation:

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar \left\{ \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} + (1 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{a' y^1}{c^2}) \gamma^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + \varepsilon^2 \frac{a'}{2c^2} \gamma^1 - \varepsilon^3 \frac{i}{c\hbar} \gamma^0 \omega_3 J_3 \right\} \Psi \\ = mc (1 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{a' y^1}{c^2}) \Psi.\end{aligned}\quad (83)$$

where

$$J_3 = y^1 \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - y^2 \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma^3. \quad (84)$$

In the similar way, we assume

$$\Psi = (\Psi^{(0)} + \varepsilon \Psi^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \Psi^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 \Psi^{(3)} + \dots) e^{-i\theta} \quad (85)$$

where $\Psi^{(0)}$ is a constant 4-component column vector, $\Psi^{(1)}$ is a 4-component column vector function of the 1st order $1/c$ of y^0, y^1 and y^2 , $\Psi^{(2)}$ is a 4-component column vector function of the 2nd order $1/c$ of y^0, y^1 and y^2 , ... and so on.

Here is a difference. ε represents the order $\frac{1}{c}$, not $\frac{1}{c^2}$, since the additional term $-\frac{i}{c\hbar} \gamma^0 \omega_3 J_3$ is of the order $\frac{1}{c^3}$. As usual, θ is the phase of the Dirac particle. In the inertial frame $\{\zeta^\mu\}$, the wave vector is $\kappa_\mu^{(0)}$ and the phase $\theta = \kappa_\mu^{(0)} \zeta^\mu$. After substituting the coordinate transformation (14) into θ ,

$$\theta = \theta^{(0)} + \varepsilon \theta^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \theta^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 \theta^{(3)} + \dots \quad (86)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{(0)} &= \kappa_0^{(0)} y^0 + \kappa_1^{(0)} y^1 + \kappa_2^{(0)} y^2 \\ \theta^{(1)} &= 0 \\ \theta^{(2)} &= \frac{a'}{2c^2} \kappa_1^{(0)} (y^0)^2 + \frac{a'}{c^2} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^0 y^1 \\ \theta^{(3)} &= -\frac{\omega_3}{c} \kappa_1^{(0)} y^2 y^0 + \frac{\omega_3}{c} \kappa_2^{(0)} y^1 y^0. \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}\quad (87)$$

Then the wave vector is

$$\kappa_0 \cong \kappa_0^{(0)} + \frac{a'}{c^2} \kappa_1^{(0)} y^0 + \frac{a'}{c^2} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^1 - \frac{\omega_3}{c} \kappa_1^{(0)} y^2 + \frac{\omega_3}{c} \kappa_2^{(0)} y^1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{a'^2}{2c^4} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^{02} + \frac{a'^2}{c^4} \kappa_1^{(0)} y^1 y^0 - \frac{a' \omega_3}{c^3} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^2 y^0 + \frac{a'^3}{2c^6} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^1 y^{02} \\
\kappa_1 & \cong \kappa_1^{(0)} + \frac{a'}{c^2} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^0 + \frac{\omega_3}{c} \kappa_2^{(0)} y^0 + \frac{a'^2}{2c^4} \kappa_1^{(0)} y^{02} + \frac{a'^3}{6c^6} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^{03} \\
\kappa_2 & \cong \kappa_2^{(0)} - \frac{\omega_3}{c} \kappa_1^{(0)} y^0 - \frac{a' \omega_3}{2c^3} \kappa_0^{(0)} y^{02} \\
\kappa_3 & = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

substituting (13) into (83), for the order of 1:

$$\{ \gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(0)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar} \} \Psi^{(0)} = 0 \tag{89}$$

and for the order ε :

$$i\gamma^0 \Psi_{,0}^{(1)} + i\gamma^i \Psi_{,i}^{(1)} + \{ \gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(0)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar} \} \Psi^{(1)} = 0 \tag{90}$$

Because we know that $\theta^{(1)} = 0$ and the equation (83) doesn't have any term of the order ε , $\Psi^{(1)}$ should not have any contribution for Ψ . So

$$\Psi^{(1)} = 0. \tag{91}$$

The equation of the next order ε^2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\gamma^0 \Psi_{,0}^{(2)} + i\gamma^i \Psi_{,i}^{(2)} + (\gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(0)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \Psi^{(2)} \\
& = -(\gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(2)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(2)}) \Psi^{(0)} - \frac{a' y^1}{c^2} (\gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \Psi^{(0)} - i \frac{a'}{c^2} \gamma^1 \Psi^{(0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

This equation has the same form as the equation (67), naturally they have the same solutions in the same initial conditions. So the problem is to solve the equation to the next order. The equation of the order ε^3 with substituting the partial derivatives of $\theta^{(0)}$ and $\theta^{(3)}$ into the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\gamma^0 \Psi_{,0}^{(3)} + i\gamma^i \Psi_{,i}^{(3)} + (\gamma^0 \theta_{,0}^{(0)} + \gamma^i \theta_{,i}^{(0)} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}) \Psi^{(3)} \\
& = -\frac{\omega_3}{c} y^0 (\gamma^1 \kappa_2^{(0)} - \gamma^2 \kappa_1^{(0)}) \Psi^{(0)} - \frac{\omega_3}{2c} \gamma^0 \sigma^3 \Psi^{(0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Here $\Psi^{(2)}$ can be solved yourself and will be omitted without losing completeness.

4.4. The trajectory of a Dirac particle in the moving accelerated frame

In the section 4.2, we have proved that, in a uniformly accelerated frame, a Dirac particle will follow the geodesic. Moreover, according to SREP, the trajectory of a flying clock in the moving accelerated frame is slightly deviated from that in the uniformly accelerated frame. In this section, we will follow the procedures in the section 4.2 and demonstrate that the trajectory of a Dirac particle in the moving accelerated frame also obeys the modified equation of motion added the terms of gravitomagnetic accelerations.

By the same way as given in the section 4.2, we can obtain finally:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2 y^1}{dy^{02}} & \cong -\frac{a'}{c^2} \left(1 + \frac{a'}{c^2} \left(\frac{v_{y^1}^{(0)}}{c} y^0 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a'}{c^2} y^{02} \right) \right) + 2 \frac{\omega_3}{c} \frac{v_{y^2}^{(0)}}{c} + 2 \frac{a'}{c^2} \left(\frac{v_{y^1}^{(0)}}{c} - \frac{a'}{c^2} y^0 \right)^2 \\
\frac{d^2 y^2}{dy^{02}} & \cong -2 \frac{\omega_3}{c} \left(\frac{v_{y^1}^{(0)}}{c} - \frac{a'}{c^2} y^0 \right) + 2 \frac{a'}{c^2} \frac{v_{y^2}^{(0)}}{c} \left(\frac{v_{y^1}^{(0)}}{c} - \frac{a'}{c^2} y^0 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

Like the results in the section 4.2, the Dirac particle in the moving accelerated frame also complies with the modified equation of motion, the geodesic equation. Hence, we know that the trajectory of a Dirac particle in the accelerated frame, or in the moving accelerated frame, is the same as that of a scalar particle to $1/c^2$, when they are given the same initial conditions.

5. Discussion

The trajectories of Dirac particles in the accelerated frame and the moving accelerated frame are found not to be different from those of scalar particles. However, this result is desirable from equivalence principle. Equivalence principle tells us that in a gravitational field the trajectory of a test body with given initial conditions is independent of its internal structure and composition,. If equivalence principle is correct, the trajectories of all kinds of particles with the same initial conditions shouldn't differ from each other.

The rotation angle of a rod thrown in an accelerated frame has been discovered by K. Nordtvedt [9]. The rotation angle of the rod is

$$\delta\phi = \frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)} v_{x^2}^{(0)}}{c^2} (1 - \cos 2\phi). \quad (95)$$

In section 4, we obtained the similar angle of a Dirac particle falls back to the floor. It is

$$\delta\phi = \frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)} v_{x^2}^{(0)}}{c^2}. \quad (96)$$

Since we know that the polarization of spin is an internal structure of Dirac particles, it should not be affected by the factors which can only change the microscopic phenomena. In appendix B, the part of angular dependence of the rotation angle can be explained by Lorentz contraction. Hence, the rotation angles of a rod and a Dirac particle are consistent with each other.

The rotation of spin due to moving acceleration is the same as the rotation of angular momentum for a Dirac particle. Hence the gyro-gravitational factor is one for moving acceleration.

Appendix A.

In the section 2, we showed the transformation from $\{y^\mu\}$ to $\{\zeta^\mu\}$ is (14). However, how do we make sure if these terms are correct? Since we used the Lorentz transformation to approximate the coordinate transformation from $\{y^\mu\}$ to $\{x^\mu\}$. In this appendix, we will demonstrate the correctness of this transformation to the order $\frac{1}{c^2}$. Then we can believe the terms in (14) we chosen are correct.

In fact, the terms in (14) are pick from the successive transformations from the moving accelerated frame, via the accelerated frame, to an inertial frame. With setting $y^0 = ct_y$ and $\zeta^0 = ct_\zeta$, the transformation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} t_\zeta = & (1 + \frac{w^4}{c^4} + \dots) t_y + (1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots) \frac{a}{c^2} y^1 t_y + (1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots) \frac{aw}{c^4} y^1 y^2 \\ & + \frac{a^2}{6c^2} (1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots) y^{03} + \frac{a^2}{2c^4} (1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots) w t_y^2 y^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{a^2}{2c^4} \left(1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) \frac{w^2}{c^2} t_y y^2 + \frac{a^2}{6c^4} \left(1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) \\
& \frac{w^3}{c^4} y^2 + \frac{a^3}{6c^4} \left(1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) t_y^3 y^1 + \frac{a^3}{2c^6} \left(1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) w y^1 y^2 t_y^2 \\
& + \frac{a^3}{2c^6} \left(1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) \frac{w^2}{c^2} t_y y^1 y^2 + \frac{a^3}{6c^6} \left(1 + 2\frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) \frac{w^3}{c^4} y^1 y^2 + \dots \\
\zeta^1 = & y^1 + \frac{a}{2} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) t_y^2 + \frac{aw}{c^2} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) t_y y^2 \\
& + \frac{aw^2}{2c^4} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) y^2 + \frac{a^2}{2c^2} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) y^1 t_y^2 \\
& + \frac{a^2 w}{c^3} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) t_y y^1 y^2 + \frac{a^2 w^2}{2c^6} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) y^1 y^2 + \dots \\
\zeta^2 = & \left(1 + \frac{w^4}{c^4} + \dots\right) y^2 - \frac{aw}{c^2} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) y^1 t_y \\
& - \frac{aw^2}{c^4} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{c^2} + \dots\right) y^1 y^2 + \dots \\
\zeta^3 = & y^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Therefore, our purpose is to judge which term is right. Since only the transformation from the moving accelerated frame to uniformly accelerated frame is approximate, we need to check the validity of the Lorentz transformation which is regarded as the transformation from $\{y^\mu\}$ to $\{x^\mu\}$. The Lorentz transformation to the order $\frac{1}{c^2}$ is (13). Now we should prove that no other correction term of the order $\frac{1}{c}$ and $\frac{1}{c^2}$ exists.

Because there are only three parameters, a , w and c , appear in our discussion, the coefficients of t_y and y^i must be the combination of these three parameters. When $w = 0$, this transformation is an identity transformation so that the possible additional terms must be in the form $\frac{a^n w^m}{c^p}$, where n, m, p are integers and $n \neq 0, m \neq 0$. By considering the dimension of the possible terms, only four terms may exist:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_x & \cong \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right) t_y - \frac{w}{c} y^2 + A \frac{aw}{c} t_y^2 + B \frac{aw}{c^2} t_y^3 \\
x^1 & = y^1 \\
x^2 & \cong \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right) y^2 - w \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right) t_y + C \frac{aw}{c^2} y^1 t_y + D \frac{aw}{c^2} y^2 t_y \\
x^3 & = y^3,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where A, B, C and D are dimensionless constant. If we are the observers in the frame $\{x^\mu\}$, we will observe the frame $\{y^\mu\}$ leaving us with the constant velocity w in x^2 -direction. Suppose the object which is at rest in $\{y^\mu\}$ stays at the position (y_c^1, y_c^2, y_c^3) . The path of the object can be described by the parameter t_y :

$$\begin{aligned}
t_x(t_y) & \cong \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right) t_y + A \frac{aw}{c} t_y^2 + B \frac{aw}{c^2} t_y^3 - \frac{w}{c} y_c^2 \\
x^1(t_y) & = y_c^1 \\
x^2(t_y) & \cong \left\{-w \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right) + \frac{aw}{c^2} (C y_c^1 + D y_c^2)\right\} t_y + \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2c^2}\right) y_c^2 \\
x^3(t_y) & = y_c^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

It is obvious that $A=B=0$, because the terms of the constants A and B are not linear. The terms of C and D are linear, but they depend on the position of the

object. Therefore, $C=D=0$. Then we have verified the approximate transformation (14), Lorentz transformation, is correct to the order $\frac{1}{c^2}$.

Now we have reasons to believe the terms of the order $\frac{1}{c^2}$ in (A.1) are correct, and they are just the ones in (14) and are in agreement with the consequence of Li & Ni [2].

Appendix B.

From Nordtvedt's paper [9], he discovered that in a uniformly accelerated frame a rod with the initial velocity $\vec{v}(x^0=0)=(v_{x^1}^{(0)}, v_{x^2}^{(0)}, 0)$ will rotate an angle $\delta\phi$, when it falls back to the floor. He got

$$\delta\phi = \frac{v_{x^1}^{(0)} v_{x^2}^{(0)}}{c^2} (1 - \cos 2\phi). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The first term can be derived from Thomas precession

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g v_{x^2}^{(0)}}{c^2}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

In Appendix B, we will illuminate that second term comes from Lorentz contraction. When an object moves, according to special relativity, its length will contract. Naturally, the rod in our discussion will, too. Suppose in the inertial frame $\{t_z, z^i\}$ the rod is at rest. If there exists another frame $\{t_x, x^i\}$, the rod leaves the frame with the velocity $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, 0)$. The trajectory of the rod's two ends are

$$\begin{aligned} x_A^1(t_x) &= v_1 t_x \text{ and } x_B^1(t_x) = v_1 t_x + L'_1 \\ x_A^2(t_x) &= v_2 t_x \text{ and } x_B^2(t_x) = v_2 t_x + L'_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where L'_1 and L'_2 are constants, and in its rest frame $\{t_z, z^i\}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} z_A^1(t_z) &= 0 \text{ and } z_B^1(t_z) = L_1 \\ z_A^2(t_z) &= 0 \text{ and } z_B^2(t_z) = L_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where L_1 and L_2 are also constants. The coordinate transformation from $\{t_z, z^i\}$ to $\{t_x, x^i\}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} t_x &= \gamma(t_z + \frac{v_1}{c^2} z^1 + \frac{v_2}{c^2} z^2) \\ x^1 &= \{1 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}\} z^1 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}} z^2 + \gamma v_1 t_z \\ x^2 &= \{1 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}\} z^2 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}} z^1 + \gamma v_2 t_z \\ x^3 &= z^3, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_1^2}{c^2} - \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}}$. At $t_x = T_x$, the end A in the rest frame is at the time T_z^A , and

$$T_z^A = \gamma^{-1} T_x. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

As to the end B , it is at the time T_z^B , and

$$T_z^B = \gamma^{-1} T_x - \frac{v_1}{c^2} L_1 - \frac{v_2}{c^2} L_2. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Hence,

$$T_z^B = T_z^A - \frac{v_1}{c^2} L_1 - \frac{v_2}{c^2} L_2. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Therefore, at $t_x = T_x$, the end A in the frame $\{t_x, x^i\}$ is at the position:

$$\begin{aligned} x_A^1(T_x) &= \gamma v_1 T_z^A \\ x_A^2(T_x) &= \gamma v_2 T_z^A. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Substituting (B.4) and (B.8) into the coordinate transformation (B.5), we can obtain the end B 's position at $t_x = T_x$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_B^1(T_x) &= \left\{1 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}\right\} L_1 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}} L_2 \\ &\quad + \gamma v_1 \left(T_z^A - \frac{v_1}{c^2} L_1 - \frac{v_2}{c^2} L_2\right) \\ x_B^2(T_x) &= \left\{1 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}}\right\} L_2 + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2}}{\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} + \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}} L_1 \\ &\quad + \gamma v_2 \left(T_z^A - \frac{v_1}{c^2} L_1 - \frac{v_2}{c^2} L_2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Now the orientation of the rod in the frames $\{t_x, x^i\}$ and $\{t_z, z^i\}$ can be compared. In the rod's rest frame, we define

$$\tan \phi \equiv \frac{z_B^1 - z_A^1}{z_B^2 - z_A^2} = \frac{L_1}{L_2}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

In the similar way, the tangent of the angle in the frame $\{t_x, x^i\}$ is

$$\tan \phi' = \frac{x_B^1 - x_A^1}{x_B^2 - x_A^2} = \frac{L'_1}{L'_2}. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

By substituting (B.9) and (B.10) into (B.12), the tangent of ϕ' is obtained:

$$\tan \phi' \cong \frac{L_1}{L_2} \left\{1 - \left(\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} - \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}\right) - \frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2} \left(\frac{L_2^2 - L_1^2}{L_1 L_2}\right)\right\}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Finally, the rotation angle due to Lorentz contraction is

$$\delta\phi'' = \phi' - \phi \cong -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v_1^2}{c^2} - \frac{v_2^2}{c^2}\right) \frac{L_1 L_2}{L_1^2 + L_2^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2} \frac{L_1^2 - L_2^2}{L_1^2 + L_2^2}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

We can go back to the problem of the rod's rotation angle in the accelerated frame. Since the velocity of the rod changes from $(v_{x_1}^{(0)}, v_{x_2}^{(0)}, 0)$ to $(-v_{x_1}^{(0)}, v_{x_2}^{(0)}, 0)$, the rod will rotate:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi_{\{contraction\}} &= \delta\phi''(v_1 = -v_{x_1}^{(0)}, v_2 = v_{x_2}^{(0)}) - \delta\phi''(v_1 = v_{x_1}^{(0)}, v_2 = v_{x_2}^{(0)}) \\ &\cong \frac{v_{x_1}^{(0)} v_{x_2}^{(0)}}{c^2} \frac{L_1^2 - L_2^2}{L_1^2 + L_2^2} \\ &= -\frac{v_{x_1}^{(0)} v_{x_2}^{(0)}}{c^2} \cos 2\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

This is the second term of (B.1).

References

- [1] Misner C W, Thorne K S and Wheeler J A 1973 *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco)
- [2] Li W Q and Ni W T 1978 *Chinese J. Phys.* **16** 214
- [3] Ni W T and Zimmermann M 1978 *Phys. Rev. D* **17** 1473
- [4] DeFacio B, Dennis P W and Retzliff D G 1978 *Phys. Rev. D* **18** 2813
- [5] Hehl F W and Ni W T 1990 *Phys. Rev. D* **42** 2045
- [6] Li W Q and Ni W T 1979 *J. Math. Phys.* **20**(7)
- [7] Ciufolini I and Wheeler J A 1995 *Gravitation and Inertia* (Princeton University Press, New Jersey) and references therein
- [8] Nordtvedt K 1988 *Int. J. Theo. Phys.* **27** 1395
- [9] Nordtvedt K *Special Relativistic Equivalence Principle* (manuscript)
- [10] Oersted H C 1820 *Experimenta circa effectum conflictus electrici in acum magneticum* (Copenhagen)
- [11] Thorne K S 1980 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52** 299
- [12] Thorne K S, Price R H and MacDonald D A eds. 1986 *Black Holes, the Membrane Paradigm* (Yale University Press, New Haven and London) 72
- [13] Bjorken J D and Drell S D 1964 *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, Inc.)
- [14] Tseng H H and Ni W T paper in preparation